

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PHASE 3 TECHNOLOGY & CONSTRUCTION, INC., a Nevada corporation; PAUL DIENES, an individual; and NICOLAS DEZUBIRIA, an individual,

Case No.: 3:15-cv-00107-LRH-VPC

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JAMES COLE, an individual, and DOES 1 – 10,

Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW

Before the Court is ALLISON MacKENZIE, LTD.’s (“Counsel”) Motion to Withdraw as counsel for Plaintiffs pursuant to Local Rule IA 10-6, NRCP 1.16, and Supreme Court Rule 46.

I. Procedural History

On June 26, 2015, this Court entered an order (a) granting defendant, JAMES COLE's, motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint, (b) dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint in its entirety, and (c) providing Plaintiffs twenty (20) days to file an amended complaint in the action. *See Doc. # 23.* On July 17, 2015, upon stipulation of the parties, this Court entered an Order extending the time in which Plaintiffs might amend their Complaint to August 13, 2015. *See Doc # 25.* Plaintiffs did not

1 file an amended complaint and have, based on the Declaration of Justin M. Townsend filed on
2 August 17, 2015, decided not to pursue this litigation any further.

3 **II. Discussion**

4 Pursuant to Local Rule IA 10-6(b) “[n]o attorney may withdraw after appearing in a case
5 except by leave of Court after notice has been served on the affected client and opposing counsel.”
6 Local Rule IA 10-6(e) establishes that “no withdrawal . . . should be approved if delay of discovery,
7 the trial or any hearing in the case would result.” Here, Counsel has properly served notice on both
8 the affected clients and opposing counsel, and because Plaintiffs’ Complaint has been dismissed,
9 Plaintiffs have chosen not to litigate this matter further, and Plaintiffs have instructed Counsel not to
10 pursue further litigation of the matter, no delay of any kind will result from Counsel’s withdrawal as
11 attorneys for Plaintiffs.

12 The Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1.16 hold that:

13 (b) Except as stated in paragraph (c), a lawyer may withdraw from
14 representing a client if:

15 (1) Withdrawal can be accomplished without material adverse
16 effect on the interests of the client;

17 (c) A lawyer must comply with applicable law requiring notice to or
18 permission of a tribunal when terminating representation. When ordered to
19 do so by a tribunal, a lawyer shall continue representation notwithstanding
20 good cause for terminating the representation.

21 Plaintiffs have chosen not to pursue their claims against Defendant in the above-captioned
22 matter, their original complaint has been dismissed in its entirety, and they have instructed Counsel
23 to cease further litigation. Accordingly, there is no further action for Counsel to take in the matter,
24 and Counsel’s withdrawal from the case will not have a materially adverse effect on Plaintiffs’
25 interests, and will not prejudice any of the parties to the action.

26 Finally, Nevada Supreme Court Rule 46 holds that “after judgment or final determination, an
27 attorney may withdraw as attorney of record at any time upon the attorney’s filing a withdrawal,
28 with or without the client’s consent.” In this case, the Court’s June 26, 2015 Order stands as a final
determination of Plaintiffs’ claims, and pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 46 Counsel may withdraw
as attorneys of record with or without Plaintiffs’ consent.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that ALLISON MacKENZIE LTD.'s Motion to Withdraw
2 is GRANTED. ALLISON MacKENZIE LTD. is withdrawn as counsel for Plaintiffs.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is dismissed without prejudice.

4 DATED this 25th day of August, 2015.

5
6
7 
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE